UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/687,385	10/16/2003	DcQuan Yu	81131518 2129		
28866 7590 09/19/2007 MACMILLAN, SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC ONE MARITIME PLAZA - FIFTH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			MILLER, CARL STUART		
720 WATER STREET TOLEDO, OH 43604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3747		
				DEL 11/201/14005	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u>'</u>

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Summary		10/687,385	YU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
·		Carl S. Miller	3747				
The N Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHICHEVER - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receive	RED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY RIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAME may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: Only 19	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the state of the state	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			·				
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u>	ine 2007.					
<u> </u>	· -						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of C	Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-9</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.					
4a) Of t	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5</u> is/are allowed.	•	•				
6) Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-9</u> is/are rejected.						
_	s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.		•				
· <u>=</u>	s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Pap		,					
_			·				
	ecification is objected to by the Examine						
10)∐ The dra	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	nt may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)⊡ The oat	h or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
á	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	•						
_	rences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	vate				
	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/M	un Date	. 0) [_] Olilet					

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minagawa ('797) in view of Powell.

Powell teaches the basic structure of applicant's system including a pressure-regulating valve (27) that is located in the tank, returns fuel to the fuel supply and is not a diaphragm-type valve. The fuel pump produces fuel pressure that is relatively constant since it runs at a constant speed as a result of being fed by a constant voltage. The pressure regulator, as claimed, is no different from that of Powell.

Minagawa clearly teaches a fuel system that uses an electrically driven pump and a non-return rail. Instead of using a fuel pressure sensor to determine fuel pressure Minagawa estimates the fuel pressure using flow rates and then sets the injector width based upon the estimated fuel pressure (See column 4, lines 27-30). The flow rates that are used are based upon engine demand in that they are proportional to the current rates to the pump that are, in turn, set by engine demand.

It would have been obvious to modify Minegawa by using the pump arrangement of Powell to feed the system because the Minegawa system would also need to return fuel to the source above certain pressure levels

Application/Control Number: 10/687,385

Art Unit: 3747

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minagawa and Powell as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Gaskins.

Gaskins teaches the use of look-up tables to store input and output data for the control of electric pumps feeding a common rail fuel system.

It would have been obvious to use the tables of Gaskins to store the demand data used in Minagawa because the two references were using similar electric pumps in the same environment.

Claims 1, 3, and 5 are allowed.

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed June 28, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the applicant should note that Claim 6 does not require the regulator to be responsive in any particular way but merely to be a regulator that does not have a diaphragm. Thus, the simple mechanical regulator of Powell teaches such a regulator.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP§706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/687,385

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin, can be reached at 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).